APPEAL NO. 022619 FILED NOVEMBER 19, 2002

CODE ANN. § 401.001 et September 17, 2002. The sustain a compensable injuction Claimant appealed these	seq. (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held or hearing officer determined that appellant (claimant) did not not you arrow on, and that he did not have disability determinations on sufficiency grounds. Respondent (self-example) Panel should affirm the hearing officer's decision
	DECISION
We affirm.	
issues involved fact questic record and decided what fa determinations are supported	the complained-of determinations and conclude that the constant for the hearing officer. The hearing officer reviewed the cots were established. We conclude that the hearing officer's ed by the record and are not so against the great weight and ence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain vertices. 1986).
We affirm the hearing	g officer's decision and order.
•	ation provided by carrier, the true corporate name of the name governmental entity) and the name and address of ce of process is
	MAYOR (ADDRESS) (CITY) TEXAS (ZIP CODE).
	Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Thomas A. Knapp Appeals Judge	
Margaret L. Turner Appeals Judge	